

X-INNER AUTOMORPHISMS OF SEMI-COMMUTATIVE QUANTUM ALGEBRAS

JEFFREY BERGEN AND MARK C. WILSON

ABSTRACT. Many important quantum algebras such as quantum symplectic space, quantum Euclidean space, quantum matrices, q -analogs of the Heisenberg algebra and the quantum Weyl algebra are semi-commutative. In addition, enveloping algebras $U(L_+)$ of even Lie color algebras are also semi-commutative. In this paper, we generalize work of Montgomery and examine the X -inner automorphisms of such algebras.

The theorems and examples in our paper show that for algebras R of this type, the non-identity X -inner automorphisms of R tend to have infinite order. Thus if G is a finite group of automorphisms of R , then the action of G will be X -outer and this immediately gives useful information about crossed products $R *_t G$.

§1. INTRODUCTION AND TERMINOLOGY

McConnell [Mc] and Musson [Mu] have shown that many important quantum algebras are semi-commutative. In this paper we examine the X -inner automorphisms of such algebras. In particular, knowing the X -inner automorphisms of these algebras is helpful when studying crossed products.

In several previous papers, the X -inner automorphisms of some naturally occurring prime rings are computed. In [M], Montgomery computes the X -inner automorphisms of filtered algebras R whose associated graded ring $gr(R)$ is a commutative domain. Montgomery then uses this result to classify the X -inner automorphisms of enveloping algebras $U(L)$ of Lie algebras L , as well as the R -stabilizing X -inner automorphisms of skew polynomial rings $R[x; d]$, where d is a derivation of R .

The results in [M] have been generalized in several directions. In [OP], Osterburg and Passman compute the R -stabilizing X -inner automorphisms of smash products

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B37, 16W20, 16S36, 16S30.

Much of this work was done when the first author was a visitor at the University of Auckland and he would like to thank the department for its hospitality. The second author was supported by a NZST Postdoctoral Fellowship.

$R\#U(L)$. In [LM], Leroy and Matczuk compute the R -stabilizing X -inner automorphisms of skew polynomial rings $R[x; \tau, \delta]$, where τ is an automorphism of R and δ is a τ -derivation of R .

In [Mc], McConnell defines a *semi-commutative* algebra as a filtered algebra R whose associated graded ring $gr(R)$ is the coordinate ring of quantum space $\mathcal{O}_q(K^n)$. We will be somewhat informal and simply refer to $\mathcal{O}_q(K^n)$ as *quantum space*. An example of a semi-commutative algebra is the quantum Weyl algebra $K[x, y \mid xy - qyx = 1]$ whose associated graded ring is the quantum plane.

McConnell and Musson show that many important quantum algebras such as quantum symplectic space, quantum Euclidean space and quantum matrices can be filtered in such a way that they are indeed semi-commutative. In addition, enveloping algebras $U(L_+)$ of even Lie color algebras are also semi-commutative. In light of these examples, it is natural to try to extend Montgomery's work on X -inner automorphisms to semi-commutative algebras.

In Section 2, we begin by computing the X -inner automorphisms of a generalized version of quantum space. This enables us to obtain information about the X -inner automorphisms of semi-commutative algebras. Next, we compute the X -inner automorphisms of enveloping algebras $U(L_+)$ of even Lie color algebras L_+ . This allows us to analyze the structure of the homogeneous semi-invariants under the adjoint action of L_+ in the Martindale quotient ring of $U(L_+)$. In particular, we show that every X -inner automorphism of $U(L_+)$ is induced by a homogeneous semi-invariant. In addition, we show that the group of X -inner automorphisms of $U(L_+)$ is abelian and the group of homogeneous semi-invariants is nilpotent of class 2. We conclude this section by applying our results to the study of crossed products $U(L_+) *_t G$, where G is a finite group of automorphisms of $U(L_+)$.

In Section 3, we look at the (R, R) -bimodules of $R[x; \tau, \delta]$ in the special case where δ is a q -skew derivation. This enables us to sharpen a result in [LM] on the X -inner automorphisms of $R[x; \tau, \delta]$.

Finally, in Section 4 we apply the results of the previous two sections to compute the X -inner automorphisms of various quantum algebras. We first consider the quantum Weyl algebra $K[x, y \mid xy - qyx = 1]$ and then the enveloping algebra of a 3-dimensional even Lie color algebra. Next, we look at two algebras studied in [KS] by Kirkman and Small which are q -analogs of the enveloping algebra of the Heisenberg algebra. Although the generators and relations of these two algebras are almost identical, we show that for one of them their group of X -inner automorphisms is infinite cyclic, whereas the group of X -inner automorphisms of the other is free abelian on two generators. We then compute the X -inner automorphisms of an algebra which, although quite different from q -analogs of enveloping algebras and Weyl algebras, is indeed semi-commutative and therefore can be studied using the techniques developed in the previous sections. We conclude this paper by observing that all of the non-identity X -inner automorphisms in our examples have infinite order and this has immediate applications to the structure of crossed products.

At this point, we introduce some of the terminology that we will use throughout this paper. If R is a prime ring, we let $Q(R)$ denote its symmetric Martindale quotient ring and let $N(R)$ denote those $w \in Q(R)$ such that $wR = Rw$. An automorphism σ of R is said to be *X-inner* if it induced by some element in $Q(R)$. In particular, this means that there is some $w \in N(R)$ such that $r^\sigma w = wr$, for all $r \in R$. An important and well known fact is that an automorphism σ is *X-inner* if and only if there exist nonzero $a, b \in R$ such that $ar^\sigma b^\sigma = bra$, for all $r \in R$.

If τ is an automorphism of R , then an additive map $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ is said to be a *skew derivation* of R if $\delta(rs) = \delta(r)s + \tau(r)\delta(s)$, for all $r, s \in R$. In addition, we say that δ is a *q-skew derivation* if it is also that case that $\delta\tau = q\tau\delta$, where R is an algebra over a field K and q is a nonzero element of K . A skew derivation δ is said to be *X-inner* if there is some $c \in Q(R)$ such that $\delta(r) = cr - \tau(r)c$, for all $r \in R$.

§2. QUANTUM ALGEBRAS AND LIE COLOR ALGEBRAS

Let $X = \{x_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a set of variables indexed by the set I . If K is a field, we define the algebra $K_q[X]$ to be the K -algebra generated by the elements of the set X subject to the conditions that $x_i x_j = q_{ij} x_j x_i$, for all $i, j \in I$, where the q_{ij} are nonzero elements of K . Clearly we must have that $q_{ii} = 1$ and $q_{ij} = q_{ji}^{-1}$, however we impose no additional conditions on the q_{ij} . Note that we are not necessarily assuming that the set I is finite; however if I has only two elements then $K_q[X]$ is the quantum plane, whereas if I has n elements then $K_q[X]$ is a generalized version of quantum n -space.

When studying $K_q[X]$ it will also be useful to consider the larger K -algebra $K_q[X, X^{-1}]$ which is generated by the elements of X as well as their inverses subject to the condition that $x_i x_j = q_{ij} x_j x_i$. These algebras are twisted group rings of free abelian groups and have been studied in [McP] by McConnell and Pettit and in [OsBP] by Osborn and Passman.

If Δ is a monomial in $K_q[X, X^{-1}]$ then, for every $x \in X$, there is a nonzero $\beta_x \in K$ such that $\Delta x \Delta^{-1} = \beta_x x$. Thus conjugation by Δ induces an automorphism of $K_q[X]$. Note that there exist monomials $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in K_q[X]$ and a nonzero $\gamma \in K$ such that $\gamma\Delta = \Delta_1^{-1}\Delta_2$. If we let σ denote the automorphism induced by Δ , then $\Delta_1^{-1}\Delta_2$ also induces σ . Hence

$$s^\sigma = (\Delta_1^{-1}\Delta_2)s(\Delta_1^{-1}\Delta_2)^{-1},$$

for all $s \in K_q[X]$. In the above equation if we replace s by $s\Delta_2$ and multiply on the left by Δ_1 , we obtain

$$\Delta_1 s^\sigma \Delta_2^\sigma = \Delta_2 s \Delta_1,$$

and so, σ is an *X-inner* automorphism of $K_q[X]$. In our first result, we will show that this is how all *X-inner* automorphisms of $K_q[X]$ arise.

For any monomial Δ in $K_q[X]$ and $x \in X$, we will let $\pi_\Delta(x)$ denote the element of K such that

$$\Delta x \Delta^{-1} = \pi_\Delta(x)x.$$

Thus we can consider π_Δ as a map from X to K . We say that a nonzero element $w \in K_q[X]$ is *monotone* if π_Δ is the same for every monomial Δ in the support of w . Note that saying w is monotone is equivalent to saying that every monomial in the support of w induces the same X -inner automorphism of $K_q[X]$.

Lemma 2.1. (a) *Every nonzero ideal of $K_q[X]$ contains a monotone element.*

(b) *Every monotone element of $K_q[X]$ is of the form Δf , where Δ is a monomial in $K_q[X]$ and f belongs to the center of $K_q[X, X^{-1}]$.*

(c) *An element of $K_q[X]$ is normalizing if and only if it is monotone. Thus every nonzero ideal of $K_q[X]$ contains a normalizing element.*

Proof. (a) If J is a nonzero ideal of $K_q[X]$, let w be a nonzero element of J with a minimal number of monomials in its support. We claim that w is indeed monotone.

To this end, if $w = \sum_i \gamma_i \Delta_i$ and $x \in X$, then we consider

$$v = \pi_{\Delta_1}(x)xw - wx = \sum_i \gamma_i(\pi_{\Delta_1}(x)x\Delta_i - \Delta_i x) = \sum_i \gamma_i(\pi_{\Delta_1}(x) - \pi_{\Delta_i}(x))x\Delta_i.$$

Since $x\Delta_1$ is not in the support of v , it follows that v has fewer elements in its support than does w . Furthermore, since $v \in J$ we see that $v = 0$ and thus $\pi_{\Delta_1}(x) = \pi_{\Delta_i}(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Hence $\pi_{\Delta_1} = \pi_{\Delta_i}$, for all i , and w is indeed monotone.

(b) If $w \in K_q[X]$ is monotone and if Δ is a monomial in the support of w , then every monomial in w induces the same X -inner automorphism as Δ . Thus $w x w^{-1} = \pi_\Delta(x)x$, for all $x \in X$. Hence w induces the same X -inner automorphism as Δ and this implies that $\Delta^{-1}w$ must be central in $K_q[X, X^{-1}]$. Therefore if we let $f = \Delta^{-1}w$, then we have $w = \Delta f$, as desired.

(c) It is clear that every monotone element is normalizing. Now, if $b \in K_q[X]$ is normalizing, let τ denote the X -inner automorphism of $K_q[X]$ induced by b . Thus $s^\tau b = bs$, for all $s \in K_q[X]$. Let N and M denote, respectively, the largest and smallest degrees of monomials in the support of b . If $x \in X$, then clearly $N+1$ and $M+1$ are, respectively, the largest and smallest degrees of monomials in the support of bx . However, $x^\tau b = bx$, thus $N+1$ and $M+1$ must also be, respectively, the largest and smallest degrees of monomials in the support of $x^\tau b$. Thus x^τ must be a linear combination of elements of X . However, if x^τ includes some $x' \in X$ other than x in its support, then the degree of x' in $x^\tau b$ will exceed its degree in bx , a contradiction. Thus $x^\tau = \gamma_x x$, for some nonzero $\gamma_x \in K$.

Finally, if $b = \sum_i \gamma_i \Delta_i$ then

$$x^\tau b = \gamma_x x \sum_i \gamma_i \Delta_i = \sum_i \gamma_i \gamma_x x \Delta_i$$

and

$$bx = \left(\sum_i \gamma_i \Delta_i\right)x = \sum_i \gamma_i \Delta_i x = \sum_i \gamma_i \pi_{\Delta_i}(x) x \Delta_i.$$

Thus $\pi_{\Delta_i}(x) = \gamma_x$, for all $x \in X$. As a result π_{Δ_i} is the same for every Δ_i in the support of b and so, b is monotone. It now follows, from part (a), that every nonzero ideal contains a normalizing element. $\square$

We can now describe the X -inner automorphisms of quantum space.

Proposition 2.2. *Every X -inner automorphism σ of $K_q[X]$ is induced by conjugation by some monomial Δ in $K_q[X, X^{-1}]$. In particular, if $\Delta = x_{i_1}^{j_1} x_{i_2}^{j_2} \cdots x_{i_n}^{j_n}$ then $x_k^\sigma = \gamma x_k$ where $\gamma = q_{i_1 k}^{j_1} q_{i_2 k}^{j_2} \cdots q_{i_n k}^{j_n}$, for all $k \in I$.*

Proof. Let $q \in Q(K_q[X])$ such that q induces σ , thus

$$(1) \quad s^\sigma q = qs,$$

for all $s \in K_q[X]$. Let $J \neq 0$ be an ideal of $K_q[X]$ such that $Jq, qJ \subseteq K_q[X]$. By Lemma 2.1(a), there exists a monotone element $a \in J$. If $b = aq$, then b is also a normalizing element of $K_q[X]$, thus by Lemma 2.1(c), b is also monotone.

By multiplying equation (1) on the left and right by a we see that $as^\sigma qa = aqsa$. Since $b = aq$, it follows that $b^\sigma = qa$ and we obtain

$$(2) \quad as^\sigma b^\sigma = bsa.$$

By Lemma 2.1(b), $a = \Delta_1 f$ and $b = \Delta_2 g$, where Δ_1, Δ_2 are monomials in $K_q[X]$ and f, g are in the center of $K_q[X, X^{-1}]$. Since $g^\sigma = g$, equation (2) becomes $\Delta_1 f s^\sigma \Delta_2^\sigma g = \Delta_2 g s \Delta_1 f$, which reduces to $\Delta_1 s^\sigma \Delta_2^\sigma = \Delta_2 s \Delta_1$. Thus

$$(3) \quad s^\sigma = \Delta_1^{-1} \Delta_2 s \Delta_1 (\Delta_2^\sigma)^{-1}.$$

Letting $s = 1$ in equation (3) yields $\Delta_1 \Delta_2^\sigma = \Delta_2 \Delta_1$, which implies that $(\Delta_2^\sigma)^{-1} = \Delta_1^{-1} \Delta_2^{-1} \Delta_1$. Plugging this fact into equation (3) results in

$$s^\sigma = \Delta_1^{-1} \Delta_2 s \Delta_1 \Delta_1^{-1} \Delta_2^{-1} \Delta_1 = (\Delta_1^{-1} \Delta_2) s (\Delta_1^{-1} \Delta_2)^{-1}.$$

Thus σ is induced by the monomial $\Delta = \Delta_1^{-1} \Delta_2 \in K_q[X, X^{-1}]$.

Finally, if $\Delta = x_{i_1}^{j_1} x_{i_2}^{j_2} \cdots x_{i_n}^{j_n}$ then for any $x_k \in X$, we have

$$x_k^\sigma = \Delta x_k \Delta^{-1} = x_{i_1}^{j_1} x_{i_2}^{j_2} \cdots x_{i_n}^{j_n} x_k x_{i_n}^{-j_n} \cdots x_{i_2}^{-j_2} x_{i_1}^{-j_1} = q_{i_1 k}^{j_1} q_{i_2 k}^{j_2} \cdots q_{i_n k}^{j_n} x_k.$$

$\square$

In [Mc], McConnell shows that many quantum algebras R can be filtered in such a way that their associated graded algebra $gr(R)$ is isomorphic to $K_q[X]$. We will use Proposition 2.2 to obtain information about the X -inner automorphisms of such algebras.

If R is a filtered K -algebra, then $R = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} R_n$, where $K \subseteq R_0$. If $r \in R$, then $d(r)$ will denote the filtration of r , thus $d(r) = m$ if $r \in R_m$ and $r \notin R_{m-1}$. The associated graded algebra $gr(R)$ is $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n / R_{n-1}$. If $d(r) = m$, then we let $\bar{r}$ denote the element $r + R_{m-1}$ in $gr(R)$. We can now prove our first main result, which is an analog of Montgomery's result [M] on the X -inner automorphisms of filtered algebras whose associated graded ring is a commutative domain.

Theorem 2.3. *Let R be a filtered K -algebra such that the associated graded algebra $gr(R)$ is isomorphic to $K_q[X]$. If σ is an X -inner automorphism of R , then σ preserves the filtration of R . Furthermore, if $x \in R$ such that $\bar{x} \in X$ then $x^\sigma = \alpha_x x +$ terms of lower degree, where α_x is a nonzero element of K .*

Proof. Since σ is an X -inner automorphism of R , there exists nonzero $a, b \in R$ such that $as^\sigma b^\sigma = bsa$, for all $s \in R$. Letting $s = 1$, we have $ab^\sigma = ba$. Since $gr(R)$ has an additive degree function, we have $d(a) + d(b) = d(ab^\sigma) = d(ba) = d(b) + d(a)$. Thus $d(b^\sigma) = d(b)$.

It now follows that, for any $s \in R$, $d(a) + d(s^\sigma) + d(b) = d(a) + d(s^\sigma) + d(b^\sigma) = d(as^\sigma b^\sigma) = d(bsa) = d(b) + d(s) + d(a)$. Thus $d(s^\sigma) = d(s)$ and so, σ preserves the filtration of R .

Since σ does preserve the filtration, σ induces an automorphism $\bar{\sigma}$ of $gr(R)$ defined as $\bar{\sigma}(r + R_{m-1}) = \sigma(r) + R_{m-1}$, for all $r \in R_m$. The equation $as^\sigma b^\sigma = bsa$ becomes $\bar{a}\bar{s}^\sigma\bar{b}^\sigma = \bar{b}\bar{s}\bar{a}$, thus $\bar{\sigma}$ is X -inner on $gr(R)$. By Proposition 2.2, if $x \in R$ such that $\bar{x} \in X$ then $\bar{\sigma}(\bar{x}) = \alpha_x \bar{x}$, for some nonzero $\alpha_x \in K$. Thus $\sigma(x) - \alpha_x x$ has lower degree than x , hence $\sigma(x) = \alpha_x x +$ terms of lower degree. $\square$

We now briefly look at the type of algebra to which Theorem 2.3 can be applied.

Example 2.4. Let R be the K -algebra generated by the set $\{x, y, z\}$ subject to the relation $xy - qyx = \alpha yz + \beta y^2 + \gamma y$, where $q, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in K$ and q is nonzero. We can filter R by letting $d(x) = 2, d(y) = 1, d(z) = 1$. Therefore $gr(R)$ is generated by $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$ subject to the sole relation $\bar{x}\bar{y} = q\bar{y}\bar{x}$. Thus $gr(R)$ is quantum 3-space. Therefore Theorem 2.3 implies that any X -inner automorphism of R must send x to a scalar multiple of x plus terms of lower degree. For an example of such an automorphism, note that $yR = Ry$ and so, y induces an X -inner automorphism of R . In particular if we let $r^\sigma = y^{-1}ry$, for all $r \in R$, then we have

$$x^\sigma = qx + \alpha z + \beta y + \gamma.$$

Since $d(y), d(z) < d(x)$, it is indeed the case that $x^\sigma = qx +$ terms of lower degree. In Section 4, we will revisit this example and completely determine the group of X -inner automorphisms of R .

At this point, we turn our attention to enveloping algebras of Lie color algebras and we begin by defining the appropriate terms. Let L be a vector space over the field K and let G be a group. Then L is a G -graded algebra if there exist K -subspaces L_g such that $L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} L_g$ and a K -linear multiplication $[\cdot, \cdot] : L \times L \rightarrow L$ satisfying $[L_g, L_h] \subseteq L_{gh}$ for all $g, h \in G$. As usual, the elements of $\bigcup_{g \in G} L_g$ are said to be *homogeneous*. If G is a finite abelian group, then we call a map $\varepsilon : G \times G \rightarrow K^*$ an *alternating bicharacter* if $\varepsilon(g, hk) = \varepsilon(g, h)\varepsilon(g, k)$ and $\varepsilon(g, h) = \varepsilon(h, g)^{-1}$ for all $g, h, k \in G$.

We say that L is a *Lie color algebra* over a field K if L is a G -graded algebra and there exists a bicharacter $\varepsilon : G \times G \rightarrow K^*$ such that $[x, y] = -\varepsilon(g, h)[y, x]$ and $[[x, y], z] = [x, [y, z]] - \varepsilon(g, h)[y, [x, z]]$ for all $x \in L_g, y \in L_h$, and $z \in L$ (although minor additional technical assumptions are required if the characteristic of K is 2 or 3.)

If $g \in G$, then it is easy to check that either $\varepsilon(g, g) = 1$ or -1 . Thus, we can partition G into the sets $G_+ = \{g \in G \mid \varepsilon(g, g) = 1\}$ and $G_- = \{g \in G \mid \varepsilon(g, g) = -1\}$, and we define $L_+ = \bigcup_{g \in G_+} L_g$ and $L_- = \bigcup_{g \in G_-} L_g$. If the characteristic of K is 2, then by convention $G = G_+$. We say that L is an *even Lie color algebra* if $L = L_+$.

Given an even Lie color algebra L_+ , the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem guarantees that there exists a unique largest K -algebra $U(L_+)$ containing L_+ such that $U(L_+)$ is generated by L_+ with relations $xy - \varepsilon(g, h)yx = [x, y]$, for all $x \in L_g$ and $y \in L_h$. More precisely, we have that if $\mathcal{B}$ is a totally ordered basis for L_+ consisting of homogeneous elements then $U(L_+)$ has as a K -basis the collection of all ordered monomials $b_1^{\beta_1} b_2^{\beta_2} \cdots b_n^{\beta_n}$, such that $b_i \in \mathcal{B}$, $b_1 < b_2 < \cdots < b_n$ and the β_i are nonnegative integers. Of course, the grading of L_+ by G extends to a grading of $U(L_+)$ and we refer to homogeneous subsets of $U(L_+)$ in a completely analogous manner.

In light of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem, it is clear that $U(L_+)$ is a domain and if we filter $U(L_+)$ by letting every homogeneous basis element of L_+ have degree 1, then $gr(U(L_+))$ is isomorphic to $K_q[X]$. It is worth noting that if an even Lie color algebra L_+ has trivial multiplication, then $U(L_+)$ is quantum space $K_q[X]$. Conversely, given the algebra $K_q[X]$ there always exists a grading group G and bicharacter ε such that $K_q[X]$ is the enveloping algebra of an even Lie algebra with trivial multiplication.

Let T be the subgroup of G generated by all the elements of G appearing in the support of elements of L_+ . We can certainly consider L_+ and $U(L_+)$ as being graded by T and is clear that no information is lost when we consider L_+ as a T -graded algebra. Next, let $H = \{g \in G \mid \varepsilon(g, G) = 1\}$; we say that L_+ is *faithfully graded* by G if $H = 1$. The grading of L_+ by G certainly induces a grading by the quotient group $\overline{G} = G/H$. Clearly no information about the structure of L_+ or $U(L_+)$ is lost when we consider L_+ as a $\overline{G}$ -graded algebra.

We say that the grading of L_+ by G is *proper* if L_+ is faithfully graded by G and G is generated by the support of L_+ . In light of the comments above, without loss of generality, we may consider even Lie color algebras where the grading by G is proper.

Theorem 2.5. *Let L_+ be an even Lie color algebra with a proper grading by the abelian group G . If σ is an X -inner automorphism of $U(L_+)$ then there exists some $h \in G$ such that*

- (1) $x^\sigma = \varepsilon(h, g)x$, for all $x \in L_g$ where $g \neq 1$
- (2) $x^\sigma = x + \alpha_x$, for all $x \in L_1$ where α_x is a nonzero element of K .

Proof. We can filter $U(L_+)$ by letting every homogeneous $x \in L_+$ have degree 1. Then $gr(U(L_+))$ is isomorphic to $K_q[X]$, where X is any homogeneous basis of L_+ .

The algebra $K_q[X]$ inherits the G -grading on $U(L_+)$ and we can extend the grading to $K_q[X, X^{-1}]$. Let $\bar{\sigma}$ be the X -inner automorphism of $K_q[X]$ induced by the action of σ on $U(L_+)$. Then, by Theorem 2.2,

$$\bar{\sigma}(\bar{x}) = \Delta \bar{x} \Delta^{-1},$$

for all homogeneous $x \in L_+$.

However, Δ is a homogeneous element of $K_q[X, X^{-1}]$, thus $\Delta \in K_q[X, X^{-1}]_h$, for some $h \in G$. Therefore, if $x \in L_g$ we have

$$\bar{\sigma}(\bar{x}) = \Delta \bar{x} \Delta^{-1} = \varepsilon(h, g) \bar{x} \Delta \Delta^{-1} = \varepsilon(h, g) \bar{x}.$$

In light of this, $\sigma(x) - \varepsilon(h, g)x$ has smaller degree than x , therefore there exists some $\beta_x \in K$ such that

$$\sigma(x) = \varepsilon(h, g)x + \beta_x,$$

for all $x \in L_g$.

It remains to show that if $g \neq 1$ then $\beta_x = 0$. Since the grading is proper, there is some k in the support of L_+ such that $\varepsilon(g, k) \neq 1$. Let $x \in L_g$, $y \in L_k$ and consider $z = [x, y] = xy - \varepsilon(g, k)yx$. Applying σ to z , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z^\sigma &= x^\sigma y^\sigma - \varepsilon(g, k) y^\sigma x^\sigma \\ &= (\varepsilon(h, g)x + \beta_x)(\varepsilon(h, k)y + \beta_y) - \varepsilon(g, k)(\varepsilon(h, k)y + \beta_y)(\varepsilon(h, g)x + \beta_x) \\ &= \varepsilon(h, gk)(xy - \varepsilon(g, k)yx) + \varepsilon(h, g)\beta_y(1 - \varepsilon(g, k))x + \varepsilon(h, k)\beta_x(1 - \varepsilon(g, k))y \\ &\quad + \beta_x\beta_y(1 - \varepsilon(g, k)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $z^\sigma = \varepsilon(h, gk)z + \beta_z$, the k -component of z is 0. Therefore the k -component of $x^\sigma y^\sigma - \varepsilon(g, k) y^\sigma x^\sigma$ must also be 0 and so, $\varepsilon(h, k)\beta_x(1 - \varepsilon(g, k))y = 0$. However, $\varepsilon(h, k) \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon(g, k) \neq 1$ and it follows that $\beta_x = 0$, as required. $\square$

We now take a quick look at an example which illustrates the type of X -inner automorphism described in Theorem 2.5.

Example 2.6. Let G be the free abelian group generated by g, h and define the bicharacter ε as $\varepsilon(g, h) = q$, where q is a nonzero element of K . Now let L_+ be the 3-dimensional even Lie color algebra with homogeneous basis elements $x \in L_1$, $y \in L_g$, $z \in L_h$ such that the only non-trivial bracket among the basis elements is $[x, y] = y$. Therefore $U(L_+)$ is the K -algebra generated by x, y, z subject to the relations

$$xy - yx = y, xz - zx = 0, yz - qzy = 0.$$

Note that y is a normalizing element of $U(L_+)$ and we can let σ be the X -inner automorphism defined as $r^\sigma = y^{-1}ry$, for all $r \in U(L_+)$. It now follows that

$$x^\sigma = x + 1, y^\sigma = y, z^\sigma = q^{-1}z.$$

In Section 4, we will revisit this example and completely determine its group of X -inner automorphisms.

The grading of G on $U(L_+)$ also corresponds to an action of G on $U(L_+)$ as automorphisms. Since any automorphism of $U(L_+)$ extends uniquely to $Q = Q(U(L_+))$, this implies that the grading by G extends to all of Q . Furthermore, every homogeneous $x \in L_+$ acts on $U(L_+)$ as a skew derivation and this action also extends uniquely to all of Q . In light of this, we can now define the *homogeneous semi-invariants* in Q under the adjoint action of L_+ as those homogeneous $q \in Q$ such that $\delta_x(q) = \alpha_x q$, for all homogeneous $x \in L_+$, where δ_x is the skew derivation induced by x and $\alpha_x \in K$. In particular, this means that if $q \in Q_g$ then for every $x \in L_h$, there is some $\alpha_x \in K$ such that $xq - \varepsilon(g, h)qx = \alpha_x q$ in Q . Note that if q is a homogeneous semi-invariant then $qU(L_+) = U(L_+)q$, thus q induces an X -inner automorphisms of $U(L_+)$. We now show that all X -inner automorphisms of $U(L_+)$ arise this way.

Theorem 2.7. (1) *The group of X -inner automorphisms of $U(L_+)$ is abelian.*
 (2) *The elements in $Q(U(L_+))$ which induce X -inner automorphisms of $U(L_+)$ are precisely the homogeneous semi-invariants under the adjoint action of L_+ .*
 (3) *The group of homogeneous semi-invariants in $Q(U(L_+))$ is nilpotent of class 2.*

Proof. (1) By Theorem 2.5, it is clear that if σ_1, σ_2 are X -inner automorphisms of $U(L_+)$, then $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_2\sigma_1$ agree on all homogeneous elements of L_+ . Since these homogeneous elements generate $U(L_+)$, it follows that $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_2\sigma_1$ agree on all of $U(L_+)$, thus the group of X -inner automorphisms of $U(L_+)$ is abelian.

(2) Let $q \in Q = Q(U(L_+))$ be a homogeneous semi-invariant and let σ denote the X -inner automorphism of $U(L_+)$ induced by q . Then, by Theorem 2.5, there exists some $h \in G$ such that

$$(4) \quad qx = \varepsilon(h, g)xq,$$

for all $x \in L_g$ with $g \neq 1$ and

$$(5) \quad qx = xq + \alpha_x q,$$

for all $x \in L_1$. We claim that q belongs to the h -component of Q . To this end, let $q = \sum_{g \in G} q_g$ be the representation of q as a sum of its homogeneous components and suppose $w = q_k$ is nonzero, for some $k \in G$. Looking at the kg -component in equation (4) and the k -component in equation (5) we see that $wx = \varepsilon(h, g)xw$, for all $x \in L_g$ with $g \neq 1$ and $wx = xw + \alpha_x w$, for all $x \in L_1$. As a result, $r^\sigma w = wr$, for all $r \in U(L_+)$, and therefore σ is also induced by w .

If $x \in L_g$ with $g \neq 1$ and if δ denotes the skew derivation induced by x , then there exists a nonzero $a \in U(L_+)$ such that $wa, \delta(w)a$ both belong to $U(L_+)$. Since

$$(6) \quad \delta(wa) = \delta(w)a + \varepsilon(g, k)w\delta(a),$$

it follows that $w\delta(a) \in U(L_+)$. If we let d denote the degree of an element in $U(L_+)$, then we can use the fact that $(wa)\delta(a) = (a^\sigma w)(\delta(a)) = a^\sigma(w\delta(a))$, to conclude that $d(wa) + d(\delta(a)) = d(a^\sigma) + d(w\delta(a))$. However, we know that σ preserves degree, thus $d(a^\sigma) = d(a) \geq d(\delta(a))$. Therefore $d(wa) \geq d(w\delta(a))$.

If we let M denote $d(wa)$, then we see that $d(\delta(wa)), d(w\delta(a)) \leq M$. It now follows using equation (6) that $d(\delta(w)a) \leq M$. Since w induces σ , we have $wx = \varepsilon(h, g)xw$. On the other hand, $\delta(w) = xw - \varepsilon(g, k)wx$ and if we combine the previous two equations we see that

$$\delta(w) = xw - \varepsilon(g, k)\varepsilon(h, g)xw = (1 - \varepsilon(g, kh^{-1}))xw.$$

If $h \neq k$, we could have chosen g such that $\varepsilon(g, kh^{-1}) \neq 1$. However, if we multiply the previous equation on the right by a this now implies that $M \geq d(\delta(w)a) = d(xwa) = d(x) + d(wa) = M + 1$, a contradiction. Therefore $h = k$ and it follows that q is indeed homogeneous as it belongs to the h -component of Q .

Finally, if $x \in L_g$ with $g \neq 1$, then we have

$$\delta(q) = xq - \varepsilon(g, h)qx = \varepsilon(g, h)(\varepsilon(h, g)xq - qx) = \varepsilon(g, h)(x^\sigma q - qx) = 0$$

and if $x \in L_1$ then $\delta(q) = xq - qx = -\alpha_x q$. Thus q is a homogeneous semi-invariant.

(3) If $q_1, q_2 \in Q$ are homogeneous semi-invariants then, by (1), they induce commuting X -inner automorphisms. Thus $q_2^{-1}q_1^{-1}q_2q_1$ is in the center of Q and it follows that the group of homogeneous semi-invariants is nilpotent of class 2. $\square$

We conclude this section by using Theorem 2.5 to study crossed products.

Theorem 2.8. *Let L_+ be an even Lie color algebra over a field of characteristic 0 such that the every value of the bicharacter ε is either 1 or not a root of 1. If G is a finite group of automorphisms of $U(L_+)$, then any crossed product $U(L_+) *_t G$ is prime. Furthermore, if $U(L_+)$ is primitive then so is $U(L_+) *_t G$.*

Proof. Let σ be an X -inner automorphism of $U(L_+)$ and let x be a homogeneous element of L_+ . It follows from Theorem 2.5 that if $\sigma(x) \neq x$, then $\sigma^n(x) \neq x$, for any positive integer n . Thus any non-identity X -inner automorphism of $U(L_+)$ must have infinite order.

If G is a finite group of automorphisms of $U(L_+)$, then no element of G other than the identity is X -inner. As a result, every nonzero ideal of a crossed product $U(L_+) *_t G$ must intersect $U(L_+)$ nontrivially. Since $U(L_+)$ is a domain, $U(L_+) *_t G$ must be prime. In addition, since $U(L_+) *_t G$ is a free module of finite rank over $U(L_+)$, a result in [B] implies that if $U(L_+)$ is primitive then so is $U(L_+) *_t G$. $\square$

§3. q -SKEW POLYNOMIAL RINGS

In [LM], Leroy and Matczuk examine the R -stabilizing X -inner automorphisms of skew polynomial rings $R[x; \tau, \delta]$, where R is a prime ring. Recall that an X -inner automorphism σ of $R[x; \tau, \delta]$ is R -stabilizing if $R^\sigma = R$. It is not hard to show that when R is a domain, every X -inner automorphism of $R[x; \tau, \delta]$ is R -stabilizing. In [LM] we are introduced to *monic invariant polynomials*, which are monic polynomials $p(x)$ in $Q(R)[x; \tau, \delta]$ with the properties that (1) $p(x)x = (ax + b)p(x)$, for some $a, b \in Q(R)$ and (2) for every $r \in Q(R)$ there is an $s \in Q(R)$ such that $p(x)r = sp(x)$. We will let $P(x)$ denote the unique monic invariant polynomial in $Q(R)[x; \tau, \delta]$ of minimal nonzero degree if such a polynomial exists and let $P(x) = 1$ if no such polynomial exists.

Theorem 4.3 in [LM] states that every R -stabilizing X -inner automorphism of $R[x; \tau, \delta]$ is induced by an element of the form $P(x)^m w$, where m is an integer and $w \in N(R)$. Leroy and Matczuk show that if δ and τ commute, then w and $P(x)$ both induce X -inner automorphisms of $R[x; \tau, \delta]$. However, in general, this is not the case as we shall see in Section 4 when we study the quantum Weyl algebra. In this section, we will refine the result in [LM] in the special case where δ is a q -skew derivation. This will help us to determine the group of X -inner automorphisms of various semi-commutative quantum algebras in Section 4.

We say that $R[x; \tau, \delta]$ has the (R, R) -bimodule property if every nonzero (R, R) -bimodule of R intersects R nontrivially.

Proposition 3.1. *Let R be a prime ring with a q -skew derivation δ such that either q is not a root of 1 or $q = 1$ where R has characteristic 0. Then either $R[x; \tau, \delta]$ has the (R, R) -bimodule property or δ is X -inner.*

Proof. Suppose I is an (R, R) -bimodule of $R[x; \tau, \delta]$ which does not intersect R nontrivially; we will show that δ must be X -inner. Let $w = c_n x^n + \cdots + c_1 x + c_0$

be a nonzero element of I of minimal degree n . Next, let

$$J = \{r \in R \mid I \text{ contains an element of degree } n \text{ with leading coefficient } r\}.$$

Since w has minimal degree, for every $r \in J$, there is a unique element $u \in I$ of the form

$$u = rx^n + r_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + r_1x + r_0.$$

We can now define the left-module maps $b_i : J \longrightarrow R$, for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, as $r \cdot b_i = r_i$. The maps b_i belong to the left Martindale quotient ring Q_l of R and both τ and δ extend uniquely to Q_l . Let

$$v = x_n + b_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + b_1x + b_0 \in Q_l[x; \tau, \delta];$$

it is clear that $Jv \subseteq I$. If $s \in R$, consider

$$z = \tau^n(s)v - vs = (\tau^n(s) - \tau^n(s))x^n + \text{terms of lower degree}.$$

Note that the degree of z is less than n and if $z \neq 0$ then $Jz = J(\tau^n(s)v - vs)$ would contain elements of I of degree less than n . Thus $z = 0$.

If we examine the coefficient of the degree $n-1$ term of z we see that

$$0 = \tau^n(s)b_{n-1} - b_{n-1}\tau^{n-1}(s) - (1 + q^{-1} + \cdots + q^{n-1})\delta(\tau^{n-1}(s)).$$

Letting $\alpha = -(1 + q^{-1} + \cdots + q^{n-1})$, $t = \tau^{n-1}(s)$, and $b = b_{n-1}$ in the previous equation, we obtain

$$\alpha\delta(t) = bt - \tau(t)b,$$

for all $t \in R$. Note that since either q is not a root of 1 or $q = 1$ where R has characteristic 0, it follows that α is a nonzero element of K . Thus δ is X -inner as it is induced by the element $\alpha^{-1}b$ which also lives in $Q(R)$. $\square$

We can now combine Proposition 3.1 with the result from [LM] to describe the R -stabilizing X -inner automorphisms when δ is a q -skew derivation. Before proving Theorem 3.2, we should point out that the assumption that δ is q -skew is only used to obtain the dichotomy that either $R[x; \tau, \delta]$ has the (R, R) -bimodule property or δ is X -inner. If δ is not q -skew but one already knows that either $R[x; \tau, \delta]$ has the (R, R) -bimodule property or δ is X -inner, then one can still use the appropriate part of the theorem below.

Theorem 3.2. *Let R be a prime ring with a q -skew derivation δ such that either q is not a root of 1 or $q = 1$ where R has characteristic 0. If σ is an R -stabilizing X -inner automorphism of $R[x; \tau, \delta]$, then either*

- (1) *σ is induced by some $w \in N(R)$. Furthermore, an element $w \in N(R)$ induces an X -inner automorphism of $R[x; \tau, \delta]$ if and only if $w^{-1}\tau(w)$, $\tau(w^{-1})w$, and $w^{-1}\delta(w)$ belong to R . In this case,*

$$x^\sigma = w^{-1}\tau(w)x + w^{-1}\delta(w).$$

This case occurs when $R[x; \tau, \delta]$ has the (R, R) -bimodule property.

or

- (2) σ is induced by an element of the form $(x-c)^m w$, where $w \in N(R)$, m is an integer, and δ is X -inner and induced by c . Furthermore, an element of the form $(x-c)^m w$, with w, m, c as above, induces an X -inner automorphism of $R[x; \tau, \delta]$ if and only if $w^{-1}\tau(w), \tau(w^{-1})w, \tau^{-m}(c) - \tau(w)cw^{-1} \in R$. In this case,

$$r^\sigma = w^{-1}\tau^m(r)w,$$

for all $r \in R$, and

$$x^\sigma = w^{-1}\tau(w)x + w^{-1}(\tau^{-m}(c) - \tau(w)cw^{-1})w.$$

This case occurs when δ is X -inner.

Proof. If $p(x)$ is a monic invariant polynomial, then $Q(R)p(x) \cap R[x; \tau, \delta]$ is a nonzero (R, R) -bimodule which does not intersect R nontrivially. Therefore, if $R[x; \tau, \delta]$ has the (R, R) -bimodule property it must be the case that there is no monic irreducible polynomial of minimal degree and so, $P(x) = 1$. However, in this case Theorem 4.3 of [LM] implies that there exists some $w \in N(R)$ such that

$$s^\sigma = w^{-1}sw,$$

for all $s \in R[x; \tau, \delta]$, where $w^{-1}\tau(w), w^{-1}\delta(w) \in R$ and

$$x^\sigma = w^{-1}\tau(w)x + w^{-1}\delta(w).$$

Furthermore, since σ is surjective it must also be the case that $(w^{-1}\tau(w))^{-1} = \tau(w^{-1})w \in R$.

On the other hand, if δ is X -inner then there exists some $c \in Q(R)$ such that $\delta(r) = cr - \tau(r)c$, for all $r \in Q(R)$. However, since we already know that $\delta(r) = xr - \tau(r)x$, for all $r \in Q(R)$, it follows that $(x-c)r = \tau(r)(x-c)$, for all $r \in Q(R)$. In addition, we have

$$(x-c)x = (x-c)x + (-\delta(c)) - (-\delta(c)) =$$

$$(x^2 - cx) + (\tau(c)x - cx) - (\tau(c)c - c^2) = (x + \tau(c) - c)(x - c).$$

Thus $x-c$ is a monic irreducible polynomial of minimal degree and, by Proposition 3.4 of [LM], it is the unique one of that degree. Hence $P(x) = x-c$. When we let $P(x) = x-c$ in Theorem 4.3 of [LM], its conclusion can be restated to say that there exists some $w \in N(R)$ and integer m such that

$$s^\sigma = (P(x)^m w)^{-1} s (P(x)^m w),$$

for all $s \in R[x; \tau, \delta]$, where $w^{-1}\tau(w), \tau^{-m}(c) - \tau(w)cw^{-1} \in R$. Furthermore,

$$r^\sigma = w^{-1}\tau^m(r)w,$$

for all $r \in R$, and

$$x^\sigma = w^{-1}\tau(w)x + w^{-1}(\tau^{-m}(c) + \tau(w)cw^{-1})w.$$

As in the previous case, it must also be true that $(w^{-1}\tau(w))^{-1} = \tau(w^{-1})w \in R$.

It remains to determine which elements of the form w in part (1) and $P(x)^mw$ in part (2) do indeed induce X -inner automorphisms of $R[x; \tau, \delta]$. By Lemma 4.2 of [LM], the X -inner automorphisms of $Q(R)[x; \tau, \delta]$ induced by w and $P(x)^mw$ will be X -inner automorphisms of $R[x; \tau, \delta]$ provided they stabilize $R[x; \tau, \delta]$. If we let $v = w$ or $v = P(x)^mw$, depending upon whether we are in part (1) or part (2), then we know that $Rv = vR$. Therefore in order to complete the proof and show that $R[x; \tau, \delta]v = vR[x; \tau, \delta]$, it suffices to show that $xv = v(ax + b)$, where $a, a^{-1}, b \in R$.

For part (1), note that since $\delta(w) = xw - \tau(w)x$, it follows that

$$xw = \tau(w)x + \delta(w) = w(w^{-1}\tau(w) + w^{-1}\delta(w)).$$

Since $w^{-1}\tau(w), \tau(w^{-1})w, w^{-1}\delta(w) \in R$, it is the case that $xw = w(ax + b)$, where $a, a^{-1}, b \in R$. This complete the proof of part (1).

To complete part (2), we consider the case where $v = P(x)^mw$. We now have

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} (x - c)v &= (x - c)^{m+1}w = (x - c)^m\tau(w)(x - c) = \\ ((x - c)^mw)(w^{-1}\tau(w))(x - c) &= v(w^{-1}\tau(w))(x - c). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we also have

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} cv &= c(x - c)^mw = (x - c)^m\tau^{-m}(c)w = \\ ((x - c)^mw)(w^{-1}\tau^{-m}(c)w) &= v(w^{-1}\tau^{-m}(c)w). \end{aligned}$$

If we add equations (7) and (8), we obtain

$$xv = v(w^{-1}\tau(w)(x - c) + w^{-1}\tau^{-m}(c)w) = v(w^{-1}\tau(w)x + w^{-1}\tau^{-m}(c)w - w^{-1}\tau(w)c).$$

However

$$w^{-1}\tau^{-m}(c)w - w^{-1}\tau(w)c = w^{-1}(\tau^{-m}(c) - \tau(w)cw^{-1})w$$

and this belongs to R if and only if $\tau^{-m}(c) - \tau(w)cw^{-1} \in R$. Therefore, it is once again the case that $xv = v(ax + b)$, where $a, a^{-1}, b \in R$, thereby completing the proof of part (2). $\square$

Note that although $P(x)$ and w induce X -inner automorphisms of $Q(R)[x; \tau, \delta]$, they do not necessarily induce X -inner automorphisms of $R[x; \tau, \delta]$ even if $P(x)^mw$ induces an X -inner automorphism of $R[x; \tau, \delta]$. We shall see an example of this in the next section when we compute the X -inner automorphisms of the quantum Weyl algebra.

§4. EXAMPLES

In this section we will apply the results of the previous two sections to determine the group of X -inner automorphisms of various semi-commutative quantum algebras.

Example 4.1. Let R be the quantum Weyl algebra $K[x, y \mid xy - qyx = 1]$, where q is an element of the field K which is not a root of 1. If σ is an X -inner automorphism of R and if we filter R by letting x and y both have degree 1, then Theorem 2.3 implies that

$$x^\sigma = \alpha_1 x + \beta_1 \text{ and } y^\sigma = \alpha_2 y + \beta_2,$$

where α_1, α_2 are both powers of q and $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in K$. However, since $x^\sigma y^\sigma - q y^\sigma x^\sigma = 1$, it follows that $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1^{-1}$. Therefore, we now have

$$x^\sigma = q^n x \text{ and } y^\sigma = q^{-n} y,$$

where n is an integer.

We can also view R as the skew polynomial ring $K[y][x; \tau, \delta]$ where $\tau(y) = qy$ and $\delta(y) = 1$. Note that δ is X -inner as it is induced by the element $c = (1 - q)^{-1}y^{-1}$. Since δ is X -inner, we can apply part (2) of Theorem 3.2 to conclude that σ is induced by an element of the form $P(x)^m w$, where $P(x) = x - c$, m is an integer, and $w \in N(K[y])$. Furthermore, it must also be the case that

$$w^{-1}\tau(w) = q^n \text{ and } \tau^{-m}(c) - \tau(w)cw^{-1} = 0.$$

The first equation from the previous line implies that w must be a scalar multiple of y^n therefore, without loss, we may assume $w = y^n$. On the other hand, the second equation from above implies that $q^m c - q^n c = 0$, and so $m = n$. Therefore σ must be induced by an element of the form $P(x)^n y^n$.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} P(x)y &= (x - (1 - q)^{-1}y^{-1})y = (xy - (1 - q)^{-1}) = (1 - q)^{-1}((1 - q)xy - 1) = \\ &= (1 - q)^{-1}(-qxy + xy - 1) = (1 - q)^{-1}(-qxy + (qyx + 1) - 1) = q(1 - q)^{-1}(xy - yx). \end{aligned}$$

In light of this, $xy - yx$ induces an X -inner automorphism of R and it follows that $P(x)^n y^n = \gamma(xy - yx)^n$, where γ is a nonzero element of K . Therefore all the X -inner automorphisms of R are induced by powers of $xy - yx$ and the X -inner automorphism group of R is infinite cyclic.

In the above example, note that although $P(x)^n y^n$ induces an X -inner automorphism of R , for every n , neither y nor $P(x)$ induces an X -inner automorphism of R . In particular, we have

$$y^{-1}xy = qx + y^{-1} \notin R \text{ and } P(x)^{-1}yP(x) = x - y^{-1} \notin R.$$

In Theorem 4.7 of [LM], it is shown that if δ and τ commute then the elements $P(x)$ and w described in Theorem 3.2 both induce X -inner automorphisms of R . However in the quantum Weyl algebra example above, this is not the case as $\delta\tau = q\tau\delta$.

Example 4.2. (or Example 2.6 revisited) Let G be the free abelian group generated by g, h with bicharacter ε defined as $\varepsilon(g, h) = q$, where we now assume that $q \in K$ is not a root of 1. Let L_+ be the 3-dimensional even Lie color algebra with homogeneous basis elements $x \in L_1$, $y \in L_g$, $z \in L_h$ such that the only non-trivial bracket among the basis elements is $[x, y] = y$. Therefore $U(L_+)$ is the K -algebra generated by x, y, z subject to the relations

$$xy - yx = y, \quad xz - zx = 0, \quad yz - qzy = 0.$$

Observe that y and z are homogeneous semi-invariants of $U(L_+)$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.7, it follows that every monomial of the form $y^n z^m$ induces an X -inner automorphism of $U(L_+)$, where n, m are integers. We need to show that these are the only X -inner automorphisms of $U(L_+)$.

We can consider $U(L_+)$ to be the differential operator ring $K_q[y, z][x; d]$, where $K_q[y, z]$ is the quantum plane and $d(y) = y$ and $d(z) = 0$. Since q is not a root of 1, the extended centroid of $K_q[y, z]$ is the field K and Lemma 1.1(b) now implies that every nonzero ideal of $K_q[y, z]$ contains a monomial of the form $y^i z^j$. Therefore the Martindale quotient ring $Q(K_q[y, z])$ is obtained from $K_q[y, z]$ by inverting monomials.

If the derivation d is X -inner, then it is induced by some $c = \sum \alpha_{i,j} y^i z^j$, where i, j are integers. Since $d(z) = 0$, c and z commute and it follows that c must be of the form $\sum \beta_j z^j$. However, we also know that $d(y) = y$, which implies that

$$y = d(y) = cy - yc = \sum \beta_j (q^{-j} - 1) y z^j,$$

which is impossible. Hence d is not X -inner and we can apply part (1) of Theorem 3.2.

Therefore, if σ is an X -inner derivation of $U(L_+)$, then σ must be generated by a normalizing element in $Q(K_q[y, z])$. However, Proposition 2.2 implies that the only normalizing elements are monomials, thus σ is indeed induced by $y^n z^m$, for some integers n, m .

If we define the X -inner automorphism $\sigma_{n,m}$ as $\sigma_{n,m}(r) = (y^n z^m)^{-1} r (y^n z^m)$, for all $r \in U(L_+)$, we have

$$\sigma_{n,m}(x) = x + n, \quad \sigma_{n,m}(y) = q^m y, \quad \sigma_{n,m}(z) = q^{-n} z.$$

Since every pair of integers (n, m) induces a different automorphism, the group of X -inner automorphisms of $U(L_+)$ is free abelian on two generators.

We now examine the first of two q -analogs of the Heisenberg algebra studied in [KS].

Example 4.3. Let R be the K -algebra generated by x, y, z subject to the relations

$$xy - qyx = z, \quad xz - qxz = 0, \quad yz - q^{-1}zy = 0,$$

where q is an element of the field K which is not a root of 1 and K has characteristic 0. We can view R as $R = K_q[y, z][x; \tau, \delta]$, where $K_q[y, z]$ is the quantum plane and

$$\delta(y) = z, \quad \delta(z) = 0, \quad \tau(y) = qy, \quad \tau(z) = qz.$$

By Theorem 2.3,

$$x^\sigma = \alpha_1 x + \beta_1, \quad y^\sigma = \alpha_2 y + \beta_2, \quad z^\sigma = \alpha_3 z + \beta_3,$$

where each α_i is a power of q and each $\beta_i \in K$. Since $x^\sigma y^\sigma - qy^\sigma x^\sigma = z^\sigma$, it follows that $\beta_1 = 0$. As a result, $x^\sigma = q^n x$, for some integer n .

Since q is not a root of 1, $Q(K_q[y, z])$ is obtained from $K_q[y, z]$ by inverting monomials. Therefore, if δ is X -inner then it is induced by an element $c = \sum \alpha_{i,j} y^i z^j$, where i, j are integers. However, we then have

$$0 = \delta(z) = cz - qzc = \sum \alpha_{i,j} (1 - q^{i+1}) y^i z^{j+1},$$

which implies that c must be of the form $\sum \beta_j y^{-1} z^j$. We next observe that

$$z = \delta(y) = cy - qyc = \sum \beta_j (q^j - q) z^j,$$

which is impossible, thus δ is not X -inner.

Since δ is not X -inner and $\delta\tau = \tau\delta$, Proposition 3.1 implies that every nonzero $(K_q[y, z], K_q[y, z])$ -bimodule of $R = K_q[y, z][x; \tau, \delta]$ intersects $K_q[y, z]$ nontrivially. Therefore, we can apply part (1) of Theorem 3.2 to conclude that σ is induced by an element of $Q(K_q[y, z])$ which normalizes $K_q[y, z]$. However, the extended center of $K_q[y, z]$ is the field K and Proposition 2.2 implies that the only elements in $Q(K_q[y, z])$ which normalize $K_q[y, z]$ are monomials. Thus part (1) of Theorem 3.2 tells us that σ is induced by some monomial $w = y^i z^j$, where i, j are integers and $w^{-1}\tau(w) = q^n$ and $w^{-1}\delta(w) = 0$. Thus $n = i$ and we observe that

$$0 = \delta(w) = \delta(y^n z^j) = \delta(y^n) z^j = nq^{n-1} y^{n-1} z^{j+1}.$$

Since K has characteristic 0, $n = 0$ and σ must be induced by a power of z . If we let σ_m be defined as $\sigma_m(r) = z^{-m} r z^m$, for all $r \in R$, then we have

$$\sigma_m(x) = q^m x, \quad \sigma_m(y) = q^{-m} y, \quad \sigma_m(z) = z.$$

Since each integer m yields a different automorphism, we see that in this case the group of X -inner automorphisms of R is infinite cyclic.

We now consider the second q -analog of the Heisenberg algebra studied in [KS]. It is worth noting that although the generators and relations of these two algebras are almost identical, the group of X -inner automorphisms of the first algebra is infinite cyclic, whereas the group of X -inner automorphisms of the second algebra is free abelian on two generators.

Example 4.4. Let R be the K -algebra generated by x, y, z subject to the relations

$$xy - qyx = z, \quad xz - q^{-1}xz = 0, \quad yz - qzy = 0,$$

where q is an element of the field K which is not a root of 1. We can view R as $R = K_q[y, z][x; \tau, \delta]$, where $K_q[y, z]$ is the quantum plane and

$$\delta(y) = z, \quad \delta(z) = 0, \quad \tau(y) = qy, \quad \tau(z) = q^{-1}z.$$

A minor difference between this algebra and the one in the previous example is that δ and τ no longer commute as we have $\delta\tau = q^2\tau\delta$. However, a more significant difference is that δ is now X -inner as it is induced by $c = q(1 - q^2)^{-1}y^{-1}z$.

As in the previous example, we can use Theorem 2.3 and the fact that $x^\sigma y^\sigma - qy^\sigma x^\sigma = z^\sigma$ to conclude that $x^\sigma = q^n x$, for some integer n . It is again the case that the only elements in $Q(K_q[y, z])$ which normalize $K_q[y, z]$ are monomials. Combining this with the fact that δ is X -inner, we can use part (2) of Theorem 3.2 to see that σ is induced by an element of the form $P(x)^m w$, where $P(x) = x - c$ and w must be of the form $y^i z^j$, for integers i, j . Furthermore, Theorem 3.2 also tells us that $w^{-1}\tau(w) = q^n$ and $\tau^{-m}(c) - \tau(w)cw^{-1} = 0$.

Using the facts that $c = q(1 - q^2)^{-1}y^{-1}z$, $\tau(c) = q^{-2}c$ and $w = y^i z^j$, the second equation from the previous line implies that

$$0 = q^{2m}y^{-1}z - \tau(y^i z^j)y^{-1}z(y^i z^j)^{-1} = q^{2m}y^{-1}z - q^{2i}y^{-1}z.$$

As a result, $m = i$ and every X -inner automorphism of R is of the form $\sigma_{n,m}$, where $\sigma_{n,m}(r) = (P(x)^m y^m z^n)^{-1} r (P(x)^m y^m z^n)$, for all $r \in R$ and integers n, m . More precisely, we have

$$\sigma_{n,m}(x) = q^{m-n}x, \quad \sigma_{n,m}(y) = q^{n+m}y, \quad \sigma_{n,m}(z) = q^{-2m}z.$$

Since all of the $\sigma_{n,m}$ commute and every pair of integers n, m results in a different automorphism, we see that the group of X -inner automorphisms of R is free abelian on two generators.

Note that in the previous example, despite the fact that $P(x)^m y^m z^n$ always induces an X -inner automorphism of R , $P(x)$ and y do not induce X -inner automorphisms of R . In particular, we have

$$P(x)^{-1} x P(x) = x - qy^{-1}z \notin R \text{ and } y^{-1}xy = qx + y^{-1}z \notin R.$$

We now revisit an algebra which does not resemble a q -analog of a Weyl algebra or enveloping algebra but is semi-commutative and therefore can be studied using the techniques developed in the previous two sections.

Example 4.5. (or Example 2.4 revisited) Let $R = K[x, y, z \mid xy - qyx = \alpha yz + \beta y^2 + \gamma y]$, where q, α, β, γ belong to the field K and q is not a root of 1. As we saw in Example 2.4, if we filter R by letting x have degree 2 and letting y and z have degree 1, then $gr(R) = K[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} \mid \bar{x}\bar{y} = q\bar{y}\bar{x}]$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.3, if σ is any X -inner automorphism of R then $x^\sigma = q^n + \text{terms of lower degree}$, for some integer n .

Note that $R = K[y, z][x; \tau, \delta]$, where

$$\delta(y) = \alpha yz + \beta y^2 + \gamma y, \delta(z) = 0, \tau(y) = qy, \tau(z) = z.$$

Also note that $K[y, z]$ is commutative and δ is X -inner as it is induced by $c = (1 - q)^{-1}(\alpha z + \beta y + \gamma)$. Therefore, by part (2) of Theorem 3.2, if $P(x) = x - c$ then σ is induced by an element of the form $P(x)^m w$, where $w^{-1}\tau(x) = q^n$. However this implies that $w = f(z)y^n$, for some rational function $f(z) \in K(z)$. Since z is central, without loss of generality, we may assume that $w = y^n$.

Observe that

$$y^{-1}xy = qx + \alpha z + \beta y + \gamma \in R \text{ and } P(x)^{-1}xP(x) = x + q^{-1}\beta y \in R.$$

Therefore both y and $P(x)$ induce X -inner automorphisms of R and it is easy to check these automorphisms commute. As a result, for every pair of integers (n, m) , conjugation by $P(x)^m y^n$ does indeed induce an X -inner automorphisms of R . If we let $\sigma_{n,m}$ be defined as $\sigma_{n,m}(r) = (P(x)^m y^n)^{-1} r (P(x)^m y^n)$, for all $r \in R$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n,m}(x) &= q^n x + (q^n - 1)(q - 1)^{-1} \alpha z + (q^n - q^{-m})(q - 1)^{-1} \beta y + (q^n - 1)(q - 1)^{-1} \gamma, \\ \sigma_{n,m}(y) &= q^{-m} y, \sigma_{n,m}(z) = z. \end{aligned}$$

Since every pair of integers (n, m) induces a different automorphism, the group of X -inner automorphisms of R is free abelian on two generators.

Note that in all of the examples in this section, every non-identity X -inner automorphism has infinite order. In light of this, using the identical argument used in the proof of Theorem 2.8, we have

Corollary 4.6. *Let R be any of the algebras in Examples 4.1-4.5 and let G be a finite group of automorphisms of R . Then any crossed product $R *_t G$ must be prime.*

REFERENCES

- [B] J. Bergen, *A note on the primitivity of ring extensions*, Comm. Algebra **23** (1995), 4625–4631.
- [KS] E. E. Kirkman and L. W. Small, *q -analogs of harmonic oscillators and related rings*, Israel J. Math. **81** (1993), 111–127.
- [LM] A. Leroy and J. Matczuk, *The extended centroid and X -inner automorphisms of Ore extensions*, J. Algebra **145** (1992), 143–177.
- [Mc] J. C. McConnell, *Quantum groups, filtered rings and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension*, Non-commutative Ring Theory (Athens, Ohio, 1989), Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1448** (1990), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 139–147.
- [McP] J. C. McConnell and J. J. Pettit, *Crossed products and multiplicative analogs of Weyl algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. **38** (1988), 47–55.
- [M] S. Montgomery, *X -inner automorphisms of filtered algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1981), 263–268.
- [Mu] I. Musson, *Ring theoretic properties of the coordinate rings of quantum symplectic and Euclidean space*, Ring Theory (Proceedings of the 1992 Biennial Ohio State-Denison Conference) (1993), World Scientific, Singapore, 248–258.
- [OsP] J. M. Osborn and D. S. Passman, *Derivations of skew polynomial rings*, J. Algebra **176** (1995), 417–448.
- [OP] J. Osterburg and D. S. Passman, *X -inner automorphisms of enveloping rings*, J. Algebra **130** (1990), 412–434.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DEPAUL UNIVERSITY, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60614

E-mail address: `jbergen@condor.depaul.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND, PRIVATE BAG 92019, AUCKLAND, NEW ZEALAND

E-mail address: `wilson@math.auckland.ac.nz`